arXiv:1602.00800v1 [math.PR] 2 Feb 2016

The existence of a measure-preserving bijection from a unit square to a unit segment

Cong-Dan Pham
Duy Tan University
congdan.pham@gmail.com

February 3, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we prove the existence of a measure-preserving bijection from unit square to unit segment. This bijection is also called the probability isomorphism between two probability spaces. Then we give a new proof of the existence of the independent random variables on Borel probability space $([0,1],\mathcal{B}([0,1]),\mathbb{L})$ that their distribution functions are the given distribution functions.

1 Introduction

In classical analysis theory, there are strange functions from a unit segment to a unit square. A bijection is called a function of type Cantor and a continuous surjection is called a function of type Peano (see [2]). In this paper we study the question of the existence of a bijection between two the Borel probability spaces $([0,1],\mathcal{B}([0,1]),\mathbb{L})$ and $([0,1]^2,\mathcal{B}([0,1]^2),\mathbb{L})$ (maybe difference a set with null measure), where $\mathbb{L}$ is the Lebesgue measure. The difference a set with null measure means that there exist two set $B\subset[0,1]^2, K\subset[0,1]$ with $\mathbb{L}(B)=\mathbb{L}(K)=1$ and the bijection maps from B to K. This bijection satisfies that it and it's reverse function are measure-preserving functions. We also call this type bijection being the bi-measure-preserving function or probability isomorphism. Our main result reads then as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a probability isomorphism f between two Borel probability spaces the unit square and the unit segment.*

This result is applied to give a new proof about the existence of the independent random variables on Borel probability space $([0,1],\mathcal{B}([0,1]),\mathbb{L})$ that their distribution functions are given distribution functions. In classical probability theory, we know that, for a finite number of the given distribution functions, there exists a family of independent random variables on $([0,1],\mathcal{B}([0,1]),\mathbb{L})$ such that theirs distributions are the given distributions. This result is proved by using Rademacher functions (see for instance [3],[1]).

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem, we need somes lemmas as follows:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi : \Omega \to (E, \mathcal{M})$ where Ω, E are two space, $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\sigma-$algebra generated by a collection of sets $\mathcal{C}$. Set $f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}) := \{f^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{M}\}$. Then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$ is a $\sigma-$algebra generated by $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$.*

One proved this lemma in somewhere in measure theory. Now, we present an important theorem to apply in the next part:

Theorem 2.2 (probability isomorphism)**.** *Let two probability spaces $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}), (E, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Q})$ and a bijection $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathcal{E}$ such that:*

$\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}$ are respectively generated by two collection of sets $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}$ i.e. $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{A} = \sigma(\mathcal{M})$ and $f(\mathcal{E}) = \{f(A), \forall A \in \mathcal{E}\} \subset \mathcal{A}$; $f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}) = \{f^{-1}(B), \forall B \in \mathcal{M}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbb{P}(f^{-1}(B)) = \mathbb{Q}(B) \forall B \in \mathcal{M}$.

Then f, f^{-1} are measurable (f is bi-measurable) and if $\mathcal{M}$ is closed by intersection so f, f^{-1} are measure-preserving (f is called bi-measure-preserving or probability isomorphism.)

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is $\sigma-$algebra generated by $f^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$, moreover $f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{F}$, this implies $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{F}$. It is similar to have that $f(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{A}$. Therefore f, f^{-1} are measurable and there exist a bijection $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi(A) := f(A)$. Now, consider $\mathcal{L} = \{B \in \mathcal{A} : \mathbb{P}(f^{-1}(B)) = \mathbb{Q}(B)\}$. By assumption, $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L}$. We prove that $\mathcal{L}$ is a $\sigma-$additive class. In fact, $f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $f^{-1}(E) = \Omega$ then $\emptyset, E \in \mathcal{L}$. Consider $A \subset B$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $\mathbb{P}(f^{-1}(B \setminus A)) = \mathbb{P}[f^{-1}(B) \setminus f^{-1}(A)] = \mathbb{P}(f^{-1}(B)) - \mathbb{P}(f^{-1}(A)) = \mathbb{Q}(B) - \mathbb{Q}(A)$. Therefore $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{L}$. It remains to prove the $\sigma-$additivity. Let $\{B_n\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ are pairwise disjoint. So we get

$$\mathbb{P}[f^{-1}(\cup_{n \geqslant 1} B_n)] = \mathbb{P}[\cup_{n \geqslant 1} f^{-1}(B_n)] = \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \mathbb{P}[f^{-1}(B_n)] = \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \mathbb{Q}(B_n) = \mathbb{Q}(\cup_{n \geqslant 1} B_n)$$

This equation implies that $\cup_{n \geqslant 1} B_n \in \mathcal{L}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}$ is $\sigma-$additive class concluding $\mathcal{M}$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{M}$ is closed by intersection so $\sigma-$algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by $\mathcal{M}$ is also $\sigma-$additive class generated by $\mathcal{M}$. Since, $A \subset \mathcal{L}$. By the definition of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{A}$ then $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}$. It means that $\mathbb{P}(f^{-1}(B)) = \mathbb{Q}(B) \forall B \in \mathcal{A}$ and f, f^{-1} are measure-preserving. □

Now, we return to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Denote $\square = [0, 1]^2, \triangle = [0, 1]$. We will show that there exist a Borel set B on $\square$ such that $\mathbb{L}(B) = 1$ and a bijection $f : B \to \triangle$ such that f, f^{-1} are Borel measurable, measure-preserving. We know that any Borel set of $\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}$ has a positive measure then it has continumn cardinality. We will construct the bijection f and the set B as follows:

Step 1: The square is divided into 4 smaller squares that are numerated as figure above. The unit segment is divided uniformly into 4 segments and they also numerated.

Next step we redivide uniformly every smaller squares and small segments into 4 parts. We continue this process until infinite steps and numerate the squares and segments by $1, 2, 3, 4$ at every step. Consider 4 apexes of the square by coordinates: $(0, 0); (0, 1); (1, 0); (1, 1)$. Set $M := \{(x, y) \in \square : x \text{ or } y = \frac{a}{2^n}, 0 \leqslant a \leqslant 2^n, a \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\square^* = \square \setminus M$. M is a Borel set

and has the Lebesgue measure $\mathbb{L}(M) = 0$. Similarly, we put a Descartes system to have two endpoints of the unit segment $(0,0);(1,0)$. Set $C = \{x \in \triangle : x = \frac{a}{4^n}, a \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$. C is denumerable and $\mathbb{L}(C) = 0$. Denote $\square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n}, \triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n}$ be respectively the closed square, close segment obtained at the $n-th$ step and j_k is order number of the square, segment at $k-th$ step contains it ($j_k \in \overline{1,4}$). Set ${}^*\square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n} := \square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n} \setminus M, {}^*\triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n} := \triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n} \setminus C$.

We construct a sequence of bijections $\{f_n\}_{n\geqslant 1}$, $f_n : \square^* \to \triangle^*$, satisfying $f({}^*\square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n}) = {}^*\triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n}$, we can chose a bijection like that because two sets have the same continumn cardinality. With this construction, we see that for all $m > n$: $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \frac{1}{4^n}$. Indeed, let $x \in {}^*\square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n\cdots j_m} \subset {}^*\square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n}$ so $f_n(x) \in {}^*\triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n}$ and $f_m(x) \in {}^*\triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n\cdots j_m} \subset {}^*\triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n}$. Therefore $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < |{}^*\triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n}| = \frac{1}{4^n}$. This implies that $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to f and $f : \square^* \to \triangle$. Let us prove that f is injective and $\triangle^* \subset f(\square^*)$. We prove by contradiction. Suppose that there exist $x \neq y$ such that $f(x) = f(y)$. Because $x \neq y$ then there exist N such that $x \in {}^*\square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_{N-1}j_N}; y \in {}^*\square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_{N-1}j'_N}$ with $j_N \neq j'_N$. If $|j_N - j'_N| > 1$ then $\triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_N}; \triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j'_N}$ are two disjoint segments for all $n \geqslant N$. Moreover, $f_n(x) \in \triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_N}, f_n(y) \in \triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j'_N}$, take n tend to infinity we get $f(x) \in \triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_N}, f(y) \in \triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j'_N}$, it implies $f(x) \neq f(y)$. This is contradictory with the assumption $f(x) = f(y)$ so $|j_N - j'_N| = 1$. Hence we have three cases $(j_N, j'_N) \in \{(1,2);(2,3);(3,4)\}$ (suppose $j_N < j'_N$). Since $\lim f_n(x) = \lim f_n(y) = f(x) = f(y)$; $f_n(x), f_n(y)$ always belong to two segments side by side at the step n and $f_n(x)$ always belong to segments numerated by 4 and $f_n(y)$ always belong to segments numerated by 1. It means that $f_n(x) \in \triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_N44\ldots4}; f_n(y) \in \triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j'_N11\ldots1}$. By the definition of f_n, it implies $x \in {}^*\square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_N44\ldots4}; y \in {}^*\square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_N11\ldots1}$. Hence $x \in \bigcap_{n\geqslant N} \square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_N44\ldots4}; y \in \bigcap_{n\geqslant N} \square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_N11\ldots1}$.



Figure 1: Construction of bijections

Consider three case:

$$j_N = 1, j'_N = 2 \text{ then } x = A, y = B$$
$$j_N = 2, j'_N = 3 \text{ then } x = O, y = O$$
$$j_N = 3, j'_N = 4 \text{ then } x = C, y = A$$



All three cases are contradictory because $x\beta y$ and $x, y \notin M$. Therefore, the assumption of the proof by contradiction is wrong then f is injective. Now, we prove $\triangle^* \subset f(\square^*)$. Indeed, let $y \in \triangle^*$, at the step n suppose that $y \in {}^*\triangle_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n}$. This implies $f_n^{-1}(y) \in {}^*\square_n^{j_1j_2\cdots j_n}$. The notation "0" indicate the interior of a set. Because ${}^*\square_n \subset {}^0\square_n \subset \square_n$ then there exist a sequence $\{n_k\}$ such that $f_{n_k}^{-1}(y) \in {}^*\square_{n_k}^{j_1j_2\cdots j_{n_k}}$ and $\square_{n_k}^{j_1j_2\cdots j_{n_k}j_{n_{k+1}}} \subset {}^*\square_{n_k}^{j_1j_2\cdots j_{n_k}}$. Hence,

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} {}^*\square_{n_k}^{j_1j_2\cdots j_{n_k}} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} {}^0\square_{n_k}^{j_1j_2\cdots j_{n_k}} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \square_{n_k}^{j_1j_2\cdots j_{n_k}} = \{x\}.$$

The last equality is followed by the sequence of closed squares has the lengths of the sides

tend to 0 so the intersection is only one point. Let us prove $f(x) = y$. Because $x \in {}^*\square_k^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_k}$ then $f_{n_k}(x) \in {}^*\triangle_k^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_k}$. On the other hand, $y \in {}^*\triangle_k^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_k}$, this implies

$$|f_{n_k}(x) - y| \leqslant |{}^*\triangle_k^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_k}| = \frac{1}{4^{n_k}} \to 0 \text{ when } k \to \infty.$$

Therefore, $f_{n_k}(x) \to y$, we also have $f_n(x) \to f(x)$ so $y = f(x)$.

Step 2: The set $\triangle \setminus f({}^*\square) \subset \triangle \setminus {}^*\triangle = C$ so it is denumerable or finite. We extend f such that it maps bijectively from a subset M' of M to $\triangle \setminus f({}^*\square)$. Set $B = {}^*\square \cup M'$ then $\mathbb{L}(B) = 1$ and f is a bijection from B to $\triangle$. It remains us to prove f is measurable and measure-preserving. The family $\{\emptyset, {}^*\square_n^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}, \mathcal{P}(M')$ is the generating collection of the $\sigma-$algebra Borel $\mathcal{B}(B)$ where $\mathcal{P}(M')$ is the family of all subsets of M'. The family $\{\emptyset, {}^*\triangle_n^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}, \mathcal{P}(C)\}$ is the generating collection of $\mathcal{B}(\triangle)$. Remark that the two generating collections are closed by the intersection. The image of ${}^*\square_n^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}$ by f is ${}^*\triangle_n^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}$ and maybe add a denumerable or finite number of points of C. So $f({}^*\square_n^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}) \in \mathcal{B}(\triangle)$.

$$\mathbb{L}(f({}^*\square_n^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n})) = \mathbb{L}({}^*\triangle_n^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}) = \frac{1}{4^n} = \mathbb{L}({}^*\square_n^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}).$$

The image of ${}^*\triangle_n^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}$ by f^{-1} is ${}^*\square_n^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}$ and maybe subtract a denumerable or finite number of points of $f^{-1}(C)$ then $f^{-1}({}^*\triangle_n^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}) \in \mathcal{B}(B)$. Since f satisfies Theorem 2.2 then f, f^{-1} are bijection, measurable and measure-preserving i.e. f is a probability isomorphism. □

There are some consequences as follows:

Corollary 2.3. *There exist a bijection from the unit square to the unit segment such that it is a probability isomorphism between two Lebesgue spaces (Lebesgue $\sigma-$algebra).*

Proof. We proved the existence of probability isomorphism from $\square$ to $\triangle$ with the difference null set i.e. the bijection from B to $\triangle$. It is still open for the question of the existence a bijection from $\square$ to $\triangle$, Borel measurable and is measure preserving. Now we will prove that there is also a probability isomorphism from $\square$ to $\triangle$ which is Lebesgue measurable. Indeed, let a set $Y \subset \triangle$ such that the cardinality of Y is continumn and $\mathbb{L}(Y) = 0$. Set $X := f^{-1}(Y)$. Take a arbitrary bijection from $(\square \setminus B) \cup X$ to Y (a bijection between two continumn sets), combine with the bijection $f_{|B\setminus X} : B \setminus X \to \triangle \setminus Y$ we get the bijection $F : \square \to \triangle$. For simplicity, we still denote F by f. We proved f is Borel measurable and Borel measure-preserving . Now we prove for all Lebesgue sets. Firstly, let us prove $\mathbb{L}(X) = 0$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist an open set G such that $Y \subset \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathbb{L}(G) < \varepsilon$. Since, $X \subset f^{-1}(G)$ and $\mathbb{L}(f^{-1}(G)) = \mathbb{L}(G) < \varepsilon$. This implies X is Lebesgue measurable and $\mathbb{L}(X) = 0$. For every Lebesgue measurable set H of $\triangle$ we can write $H = H_1 \cup H_2$ where H_1 is Borel set, $\mathbb{L}(H_2) = 0$ and they are disjoint. So $f^{-1}(H) = f^{-1}(H_1) \cup f^{-1}(H_2)$ is Lebesgue measurable, $\mathbb{L}(f^{-1}(H)) = \mathbb{L}(f^{-1}(H_1)) = \mathbb{L}(H_1) = \mathbb{L}(H)$. So f is a probability isomorphism between two Lebesgue probability spaces $\square$ and $\triangle$. □

Corollary 2.4. *There exists a probability isomorphism between two Lebesgue spaces $[0,1]^n$ and $[0,1]^m$, where m, n are positive integers.*

Now, we give an application of Theorem 1.1. We recover the existence of random variables on the probability space $\triangle$ associate the given distribution functions.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $F_1, F_2, ..., F_n$ be the distribution functions and $(\triangle, \mathcal{B}(\triangle), \mathbb{L})$ be the Borel probability space. Then there exits n independent random variables $X_1, ..., X_n : \triangle \to \mathbb{R}$ such that their distribution functions are $F_{X_1} = F_1, ..., F_{X_n} = F_n$.*

Proof. This result is proved by using Ramdemacher functions,(see [3],[1]). Let us explain how do we prove it. Let a probability isomorphism $f : \triangle \to B^n$. Let $\overline{X}_i : B^n \to \mathbb{R}$ define by $\overline{X}_i(x_1, .., x_i, ..., x_n) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} : F_i(t) < x_i\}$. It is clear that $\{\overline{X}_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$ are independents and one proved that they have respectively the distribution functions $F_1, .., F_n$. Set $X_i = \overline{X}_i \circ f$, then $\{X_i\}$ are independents and their distribution functions:

$$F_{X_i}(x) = \mathbb{L}(X_i^{-1}(-\infty, x]) = \mathbb{L}(f^{-1} \circ \overline{X}_i^{-1}(-\infty, x]) = \mathbb{L}(\overline{X}_i^{-1}(-\infty, x]) = F_i(x).$$

3 Open question

It is still open for the existence of a probability isomorphism between two Borel probability spaces $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), \mathbb{L})$ and $([0, 1]^2, \mathcal{B}([0, 1]^2), \mathbb{L})$ such that it has not the difference of a null measure set. □

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my old professors of Faculty of mathematics, Hanoi university of education for suggesting this problem.

References

[1] P. Billingsley. *Probability and measure*. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.

[2] A.B. Kharazishvili. *Strange functions in real analysis*, volume 272. CRC Press, 2005.

[3] J.R. Norris. Probability and measure. In *Notes for students*. University of Cambridge.